

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 007510,156 TARLETON 235.00200101 **EXAMINER** HH12/5627 MUETING RAASCH & GEBHARDT PA FIELDS, I P 0 BOX 581415 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MINNEAPOLIS MN 55458 1645 DATE MAILED: 06/27/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Offic Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/518,156	TARLETON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	lesha P Fields	1645	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover shat with	the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. R.1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication.	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _			
	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo closed in accordance with the practice und	wance except for formal matter	ers, prosecution as to the merits is . 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claims 1-73 are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Examiner.			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		isannroved	
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the		oupprovou.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. δ 119			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	an priority under 25 U.S.C. 5.4	10(a) (d) (0	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	gii priority dilder 35 0.5.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		ligation No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			
application from the International B * See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	Jureau (PCT Rule 17 2(a))	· ·	
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for dom			
.ttachment(s)			
 5) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 6) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 7) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	19) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-36 drawn to a vaccine and pharmaceutical composition, classified in class 424, subclass 265.1.
- II. Claim 37, drawn to a method of making a polynucleotide, classified in class 435, subclass 91.1.
- III. Claims 38-39, drawn to a method of making a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 71.1.
- IV. Claims 40-69, drawn to a method of inducing an immunological response comprising delivery of a polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 69.1.
- V. Claims 70-72, drawn to a method of detecting a polypeptide, classified in class 435, subclass 7.22.
- VI. Claim 73, drawn to a method of treatment comprising administering a polypeptide, classified in class 424, subclass 269.1.

Art Unit: 1645

1. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Invention I drawn to a vaccine comprising polypeptides and Invention II drawn to
a polynucleotide are distinct since they are products with different structure and
biological properties. The polypeptide is made of amino acids whereas the nucleic acid
molecule consists of nucleotides. Further methods known in the art used to make the
polypeptide require different reagents and parameters from the methods of making
nucleic acid encoding the protein and the method of making the polypeptide does not
require the nucleic acid.

Inventions I and Invention III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the polypeptide could be used to generate antibodies.

Inventions I and Inventions IV-VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the polypeptide could be used in the different methods as claimed.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/518,156

Art Unit: 1645

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention recited in Groups I and IV.

The species are as follows in Group I:

- a) a protozoan species
- b) a polypeptide or a polynucleotide
- c) an anchored polypeptide or a secreted polypeptide
- d) an antibody or a cell-mediated response

The above species recite different inventions which are unobvious and distinct each over the other.

If a is elected, then applicant should elect either Trypanosoma, Leishmania, Toxoplasma, Eimeria, Neospora, Cyclospora, or Cryptosporidia.

If b is elected, then applicant should elect either a polypeptide or polynucleotide. In addition, applicant should elect the type of each. If a polypeptide is elected applicant should elect TSA-1, ASP-1, ASP-2, hemolysin or Lyt1 protein. If a polynucleotide is elected, then applicant should elect an interleukin (IL-2, GM-CSF, IL-6, IL-18), an interferon (γ -interferon or α , β -interferon), or a cytokine.

If c is elected, then applicant should elect either an anchored polypeptide or a secreted polypeptide. In addition applicant should elect the type of each for examination.

Art Unit: 1645

The species are as follows in Group IV:

- e) a protozoan species
- f) a polypeptide or a polynucleotide
- g) a therapeutic or a prophylactic immunization

The above species recite different inventions which are unobvious and distinct each over the other.

If e is elected, then applicant should elect either Trypanosoma, Leishmania, Toxoplasma, Eimeria, Neospora, Cyclospora, or Cryptosporidia.

If f is elected, then applicant should elect either a polypeptide or polynucleotide in claims 44, 45, 50, 51, 59, 60, 65, 66 and 68.

If g is elected, then applicant should elect either a therapeutic or a prophylactic immunization in claims 40, 46, 52, 53, 54, 55, and 61-65.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Art Unit: 1645

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their separate classification and their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 1645

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 7

examiner should be directed to lesha P Fields whose telephone number is (703) 605-

1208. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-3:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Lynette Smith can be reached on (703) 308-3909. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3014

for regular communications and (703) 308-4242 for After Final communications.

lesha Fields

June 26, 2001

LYNETTE R. F. SMITH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Art Unit: 1645

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

DEA/FCE-1994

SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

Page 2

DATE MAILED:

Please find below a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

Commissioner of Patents

This application contains sequence disclosures that are encompassed by the definitions for

nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 CFR 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2). However, this

application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 CFR 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set

forth on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing

Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures.

Applicant is given ONE MONTH, or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this

letter within which to comply with the sequence rules, 37 CFR 1.821 - 1.825. Failure to comply with these

requirements will result in ABANDONMENT of the application under 37 CFR 1.821(g). Extensions of time may

be obtained by filing a petition accompanied by the extension fee under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no

case may an applicant extend the period for reply beyond the SIX MONTH statutory period. Direct the reply to the

undersigned. Applicant is requested to return a copy of the attached Notice to Comply with the reply.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

NOTICE TO COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES

The nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not comply with the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the following reason(s)

1. This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to these regulations, published at 1114 OG 29, May 15, 1990 and at 55 FR 18230, May 1, 1990.
2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."
5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
6. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
7. Other:
Applicant 85
Applicant Must Provide:
An <u>initial</u> or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
An <u>initial</u> or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry
A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or For questions regarding compliance to these requirements.
For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216 For CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212 For Patentln software help, call (703) 308-6856
PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE MITH

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR RESPONSE